OPEN BURNING OF AGRICULTURAL RESIDUES

8

(23)

Emission inventory of different pollutants from the burning of different crop residues in the cropland is being developed following the IPCC (2006) inventory preparation guideline. The primary crops considered for inventory preparation are rice, wheat, maize, sugarcane, and cotton, as mentioned in different published literature. Emission from the in-situ burning of crop residue was calculated using Eq. 23:

$$Epol = \sum_{S=1}^{35} \sum_{D=1}^{n} \sum_{C=1}^{n} Pa \times Ra \times fDa \times fBa \times EFpol$$

where, Epol = Emission of a particular pollutant (pol) (g); Pa is the total production of a particular crop (C) in a particular district (D) of the state (S) in kilograms; Ra is the fraction of residue generated for the production (Pa) of the particular crop (a); fDa is the fraction of dry matter in the residue of the particular crop (a); fBa is the combustion efficiency of crop residue that is burnt; and EFpol is the emission factor of the particular pollutant (g/kg). During the course of the present study, crop residue samples were collected from different parts of the country to develop the *EFpol* of different pollutants emission while burning the crop residues (Annexure I). VIIRS dataset of the Fire information for Resource Management System (FIRMS) of NASA was used to identify the crop residue burning locations all over the country while collecting the crop residue samples. The VIIRS sensor is placed aboard the joint NASA/NOAA Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (Suomi-NPP) satellite. The 375-m active fire product of VIIRS was used for this study. The 375-m data complements Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) fire detection; they both show good agreement in hotspot detection but the improved spatial resolution of the 375-m data provides a greater response over fires of relatively small areas and provides improved mapping of large fire perimeters using pixel-integrated Fire Radiative Power (FRP). The VIIRS 375-m FRP dataset of the year 2016 was overlaid on the seasonal cropland map of India to extract the crop residue burning activities during the harvest seasons of Kharif (wet) and Rabi (dry) seasons crops.

The seasonal (wet season and dry season) production data (Pa) of different crops were collected from the Department of Agriculture Cooperation and Farmers' Welfare (DAC&FW), Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India for the year 2016. Crops were categorized into the following six groups in the present study, a) rice, b) wheat, c) cotton, d) maize, e) sugarcane and f) others based on the field observation of crop residue burning. The 'others' group includes, tur, mustard, cassava, tiger grass, and jute. The statelevel production of different crops is given in Table 23.

| State | Rice | Wheat | Cotton | Maize | Sugarcane | Others |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Andaman & Nicobar Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 | 2338 | 1 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 557,500 | 52,547 | 413,780 | 256,422 | 129,225 | 258,379 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 264,433 | 144,521 | 0 | 201,452 | 197,795 | 0 |
| Assam | 0 | 129,083 | 144,707 | 186,996 | 121,575 | 517 |
| Bihar | 0 | 4,736,448 | 0 | 2,288,789 | 11,914,615 | 0 |
| Chandigarh | 206 | 5060 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| Chhattisgarh | 5,154,328 | 142,329 | 94 | 237,676 | 46,895 | 0 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 29,598 | 302 | 0 | 99 | 51,300 | 220 |
| Daman & Diu | 3600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goa | 115,068 | 28,800 | 700 | 65,200 | 11,022,477 | 0 |
| Gujarat | 1,584,355 | 2,315,849 | 7,542,431 | 608,511 | 10,947,109 | 563 |
| Haryana | 3,941,000 | 11,117,000 | 1,783,500 | 26,000 | 7,500,000 | 13,863 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 114,648 | 602,102 | 0 | 707,890 | 37,516 | 0 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 646,362 | 544,889 | 1 | 523,609 | 290 | 0 |
| Jharkhand | 222,218 | 113,828 | 0 | 115,255 | 9140 | 31,910 |
| Karnataka | 2,713,089 | 128,988 | 1,152,047 | 3,310,473 | 0 | 148,704 |
| Kerala | 0 | 0 | 196 | 74 | 13,813 | 1845 |
| Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 3,246,000 | 15,705,000 | 738,000 | 2283,000 | 3,980,000 | 0 |
| Maharashtra | 2,517,100 | 952,551 | 3,913,230 | 1,594,670 | 58,271,000 | 128,700 |
| Manipur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meghalaya | 62,901 | 875 | 8928 | 41,242 | 356 | 0 |
| Mizoram | 37,746 | 0 | 77 | 10,295 | 51,270 | 0 |
| Nagaland | 439,460 | 5950 | 80 | 136,360 | 190,200 | 0 |
| NCT of Delhi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Odisha | 5,874,000 | 0 | 0 | 110,977 | 577,157 | 0 |
| Puducherry | 31,070 | 0 | 271 | 0 | 213,968 | 0 |
| Punjab | 11,823,022 | 16,077,185 | 39,3000 | 42,4637 | 6,607,000 | 0 |
| Rajasthan | 369,780 | 10,468,161 | 206,448 | 1,156,675 | 531,267 | 0 |
| Sikkim | 19,687 | 346 | 0 | 68,310 | 0 | 0 |

Table 23 State-level production (Mg) of different crops during dry and wet seasons of 2016

| State | Rice | Wheat | Cotton | Maize | Sugarcane | Others |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Tamil Nadu | 7,374,681 | 0 | 326,659 | 2,532,330 | 25,508,824 | 0 |
| Telangana | 3,047,289 | 16,902 | 3,733,072 | 1,751,074 | 2,404,655 | 937 |
| Tripura | 575,826 | 407 | 1365 | 12,177 | 40,492 | 0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 12,434,053 | 26,874,361 | 2658 | 1,303,866 | 145,384,798 | 20,143 |
| Uttarakhand | 591,755 | 790,370 | 0 | 38,208 | 5,656,014 | 0 |
| West Bengal | 15,948,254 | 788,503 | 1326 | 662,434 | 1,327,805 | 4960 |
| Total | 79,739,030 | 91,742,357 | 20,362,570 | 20,655,132 | 292,738,893 | 610,742 |

DAC&FW, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India

The residue to crop fractions (Ra) of different crops was replicated as in Datta and Sharma (2016). The dry matter fraction in different crop residues () were replicates as reported by Jain et al. (2014). The combustion efficiency (*f*Ba) of different crop residues were used as reported in Turn et al. (1997). Table 24 summarizes different coefficients used to estimate the emissions of pollutants from burning of crop residues using *eq. 23*.

Table 24 Coefficients of different crop residues to estimate the emissions of different pollutants

| Co-efficient | Rice | Wheat | Cotton | Maize | Sugarcane | Others |
|---|------|-------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Residue to crop ratio (Ra)¹ | 1.59 | 1.70 | 0.40 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Dry fraction of residue (fDa)² | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.90 |
| Combustion efficiency (<i>f</i> Ba) ³ | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.68 | 0.91 |

¹Datta and Sharma (2016); ²Jain et al. (2014), ³Turn et al. (1997)

The VIIRS dataset of the FIRMS was also used to identify the crop residue burning locations at 36 km × 36 km grid over the country boundary during the dry (April–May) and wet (October–December) crop harvesting seasons of 2016 (Figure 10). All districts were divided into different polygons based on the 36 km × 36 km grids. Total number of fire event in each polygon was calculated. Each polygon was allotted a weighted value based on the number of fire events. The weighted values of each polygon were; 0.1 if number of burning events were >0 and <10, 0.3 if number of burning events were >10<=50; 0.6 if number of burning events were >50<=100; 0.8 if number of burning events were >100<=1000, and 1 if number of burning events were >100.

Each polygon area was divided with the harvested area of each crop in a district (DAC&FW, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, 2016) to calculate a factor to allot the amount of crop residue available in a polygon using Eq. 24:

$$[B_{Poly}]_{C} = \frac{APoly}{Ac} \times [Pa]_{C} \times [fa]_{C} \times [fba]_{C} \times [fBa]_{C}$$
(24)



Figure 10 Locations of crop residue burning at the agriculture field during the harvest period of dry and wet season crops during 2016. Data source: MODIS-VIIRS

where, $[B_{poly}]_{C}$ is the burnable fraction (Mg) of crop type C in a polygon; *APoly* is the area of the polygon (ha); A_{C} is the total harvested area (ha) of crop C in the district; $[Pa]_{C}$, $[Ra]_{C}$, $[fDa]_{C}$ and $[fBa]_{C}$ are the district-level production (Mg), residue to crop ratio, dry fraction of residue and combustion efficiency of the crop C. $[B_{poly}]_{C}$ was multiplied with the respective number of fire weighted values of respective polygon to estimate the amount of each crop residues burnt (Mg) in each polygon during a crop harvest season. Accordingly, it was estimated that about 17% of total crop residues generated during 2016 were burnt in the field (Table 25).

| Crop type | Residue generated (Mg) | Residue burnt (Mg) |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Rice | 126,785,058 | 30,637,767 |
| Wheat | 155,962,007 | 11,134,512 |
| Cotton | 61,087,709 | 8,127,676 |
| Maize | 41,310,265 | 5,019,477 |
| Sugarcane | 117,095,557 | 32,018,180 |
| Others | 1,221,483 | 141,327 |
| Total | 503,462,079 | 87,078,939 |

Table 25 Estimated amount of different crop residues and burnt amount during 2016

The emission factors of different pollutants (Table 26) as measured using the method described in *Annexure I* was used to calculate the emissions of different pollutants in each polygon using Eq. 25:

(25)

where, E_{pol} is the emission of a particular pollutant (pol) in kg; W_i is the weighted value of number of fire; and EF_{Pol} is the emission factor of a pollutant (pol) in kg/Mg.

| PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | SO _x | NO _x | со | NMVOC | NH ₃ |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 14.60 | 9.26 | 2.12 | 5.70 | 79.61 | 6.49 | 1.30 |
| 11.33 | 8.51 | 1.14 | 5.98 | 97.96 | 7.43 | 1.30 |
| 11.78 | 9.78 | 0.37 | 6.41 | 123.22 | 6.86 | 1.30 |
| 13.64 | 11.18 | 0.38 | 6.82 | 47.81 | 9.87 | 1.30 |
| 18.28 | 11.98 | 0.52 | 6.05 | 62.93 | 5.91 | 1.30 |
| 24.96 | 15.05 | 0.79 | 8.90 | 130.27 | 8.24 | 1.30 |
| | PM ₁₀ 14.60 11.33 11.78 13.64 18.28 24.96 | PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} 14.60 9.26 11.33 8.51 11.78 9.78 13.64 11.18 18.28 11.98 24.96 15.05 | PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO _x 14.60 9.26 2.12 11.33 8.51 1.14 11.78 9.78 0.37 13.64 11.18 0.38 18.28 11.98 0.52 24.96 15.05 0.79 | PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO _x NO _x 14.60 9.26 2.12 5.70 11.33 8.51 1.14 5.98 11.78 9.78 0.37 6.41 13.64 11.18 0.38 6.82 18.28 11.98 0.52 6.05 24.96 15.05 0.79 8.90 | PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO _x NO _x CO 14.60 9.26 2.12 5.70 79.61 11.33 8.51 1.14 5.98 97.96 11.78 9.78 0.37 6.41 123.22 13.64 11.18 0.38 6.82 47.81 18.28 11.98 0.52 6.05 62.93 24.96 15.05 0.79 8.90 130.27 | PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO _x NO _y CO NMVOC 14.60 9.26 2.12 5.70 79.61 6.49 11.33 8.51 1.14 5.98 97.96 7.43 11.78 9.78 0.37 6.41 123.22 6.86 13.64 11.18 0.38 6.82 47.81 9.87 18.28 11.98 0.52 6.05 62.93 5.91 24.96 15.05 0.79 8.90 130.27 8.24 |

Table 26 Emission factors (kg/Mg) of different pollutants during the burning of crop residues

 * NH $_{_{\rm o}}$ emission factor was taken from GAINS-ASIA.

8.1 Emission inventory of different pollutants during the open burning of crop residue

Seasonal emissions of different pollutants from the burning of different crop residues were estimated using Eq. 23.

Figure 11 shows the seasonal emissions of different pollutants from the burning of different types of crop residues.

Table 27 summarizes the emission of different pollutants from the burning of different types of crop residues in respect of the crop production.

| Crop | PM ₁₀ | | PM _{2.} | 5 | SO _x | | NO _x | | со | | voc | | NH ₃ | |
|-----------|------------------|------|-------------------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-----------------|------|
| | D | W | D | W | D | W | D | W | D | W | D | W | D | W |
| Rice | 0.22 | 5.91 | 0.14 | 3.75 | 0.03 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 2.31 | 1.20 | 32.24 | 0.10 | 2.63 | 0.02 | 0.53 |
| Wheat | 1.59 | - | 1.19 | - | 0.16 | - | 0.84 | - | 13.70 | - | 1.04 | - | 0.18 | - |
| Cotton | 0.00 | 5.84 | 0.00 | 3.91 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 2.28 | 0.05 | 31.87 | 0.00 | 2.60 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| Maize | 1.07 | 3.47 | 0.87 | 2.66 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 1.35 | 3.73 | 18.92 | 0.77 | 1.54 | 0.10 | 0.31 |
| Sugarcane | 10.33 | 2.15 | 6.77 | 1.77 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 3.42 | 0.84 | 0.57 | 11.75 | 3.34 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 0.19 |
| Others | 1.36 | 3.55 | 0.82 | 3.66 | 0.04 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 1.39 | 7.11 | 19.38 | 0.45 | 1.58 | 0.07 | 0.32 |

Table 27 Estimated emissions (Gg) of pollutants per unit production (Tg) in different seasons

D: Dry season; W: Wet season

Seasonal emissions of different pollutants due to the open burning of the crop residues in the agricultural fields were distributed to 36 km × 36 km grids (Figure 12) following Eq. 24.





A. Rice; B. Wheat; C. Cotton; D. Maize; E. Sugarcane; and F. Others

Figure 11 Estimated seasonal emission of pollutants from the crop residue burning in 2016









Figure 12 Spatial variation of emissions of different pollutants due to burning of crop residues in agricultural field during different crop harvesting periods

Annual emissions of PM_{10} , PM_{25} , SO_x , NO_x , CO, NMVOC and NH_3 due to burning of crop residues are 1325, 937, 158, 527, 6501, 600, and 118 Gg, respectively (Table 5). Annual emission of PM_{10} and PM_{25} was higher from the burning of sugarcane residues (934 Gg) all over the country compared to other crop residues burnt in the field during 2016. While, SO_x , CO and NMVOC emissions were recorded higher from the burning of rice crop residues in the entire country compared to other crop residues (Table 28).

Table 28 Annual emissions (Gg) of different pollutants from the burning of crop residues in the croplands during 2016

| Crop | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | SO _x | NO _x | со | voc | NH ₃ |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----|-----------------|
| Rice | 450 | 285 | 65 | 176 | 2453 | 200 | 40 |
| Wheat | 145 | 109 | 15 | 77 | 1257 | 95 | 17 |
| Cotton | 119 | 80 | 17 | 47 | 655 | 53 | 11 |
| Maize | 76 | 59 | 8 | 32 | 370 | 40 | 7 |
| Sugarcane | 533 | 402 | 52 | 195 | 1752 | 211 | 44 |
| Others | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 1325 | 937 | 158 | 527 | 6501 | 600 | 118 |