# **Application of GIS tools and techniques**

# for better urban planning

Dr. Sandeep Maithani Scientist Dept. of Urban and Regional studies Indian Institute of Remote sensing (ISRO) Urban planning is a spatial activity (we need accurate maps)





# **Conventional Method of Record Keeping**



Role of Remote Sensing and GIS

2

Remote Sensing & GIS: tools in urban planning

✓ Remote Sensing Spatial data provider

✓GIS (Geographical Information system)



Spatial data handler



Remote sensing:

# Spatial data provider





GIS:

# Spatial data handler

# Some of the applications

- Urban Seismic Risk Assessment.
- Network Analysis.
- Land cover/ Land use change modelling.

# **URBAN SEISMIC RISK ASSESSMENT**



# Preparedness Reduce losses



# Need of urban risk assessment?



# THE AIM IS TO QUANTIFY THE LOSS DUE TO DISASTERS OF VARYING MAGNITUDE



### **APPROACH / METHODOLOGY:**



LANDUSE



Meters

LANDUSE OF THE AREA

The residential area - 229422 sq mts. Commercial areas - 11642 sq mts. Open space - 102276 sq mts. Roads in the area - 50454 sq mts. Utility buildings - 21803 sq mts. Total area - 415600 sq mts.





# **BUILDING AGE**



BUILDING AGE

Newly Built houses – 289
Moderately old houses – 317
Very old houses - 132

# PROXIMITY BETWEEN BUILDINGS





- Within 2 feet -285
- Within 3 feet -232
- Within 4 feet -152
- Within 5 feet -32
- ✤ Within 6 to 22 feet 36

# NO. OF STOREYS



#### NUMBER OF STOREYS



•Ground floor (G) – 502 •G + First floor – 236

#### **RATIONALE FOR DAMAGE ASSESSMENT**

#### Damage probability matrix (DPM)

Class	Туре	Roof	Symmetry	Maintenance	Age	Remarks
Α	Load Bearing	GI/Other	Any	Low	Any	~Slum
A1	Any	Any	Any	NA	NA	Under Con
В	Load Bearing	GI	Any	Any	Any	
B1	Load Bearing	GI-RCC	Symmetrical	Moderate	Old	Abandoned
C1	Load Bearing	RBC/RBC-GI	Asymmetric	Any	Old/Mod	
C2	Load Bearing	RBC/RBC-RCC	Symmetric	Any	Old/Mod	
C3	Load Bearing	RCC/RCC	Any	Any	Mod/Old	
D1	Framed	RCC	Symmetric	Low	Old	
D2	Framed	RCC/GI	Asymmetric	Moderate	Old	
D3	Framed	RCC	Any	Any	Mod/New	
D4	Framed	RCC	Any	High	New	
E	Steel/GI	Steel	Symmetric	High	Any	All Steel

MMI/Type	Α	A1	В	B1	C1	C2	C3	D1	D2	D3	D4	E
V	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
VI	0.10	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
VII	0.45	0.45	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
VIII	0.60	0.60	0.45	0.45	0.25	0.30	0.18	0.12	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.01
IX	0.80	0.80	0.60	0.60	0.45	0.60	0.40	0.30	0.17	0.12	0.06	0.06
X	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.80	0.65	1.00	0.72	0.55	0.35	0.25	0.17	0.17
XI	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.85	0.60	0.50	0.35	0.35

**\***Probability > 75% is taken as Total Collapse

**♦** Probability 50 – 75% is taken as major structural failure

**♦** Probability 30 – 50% is taken as major damage failure

**♦ Probability 5 – 30% is taken as minor damage** 

**♦**Probability < 5% is taken as little or no damage

# BUILDING VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT



# BUILDING VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT



# BUILDING VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT





# PROBABILITY OF DAMAGE FOR BUILDINGS

MMI	PROBABILITY OF DAM AGE	NO. OF BUILDINGS	(%)
VI	LITTLE/NO DAMAGE	738	100
VII	LITTLE/NO DAMAGE	312	42.276423
	MINOR DAMAGE	426	57.723577
VIII	LITTLE/NO DAMAGE	291	39.430894
	MINOR DAMAGE	447	60.569106
IX	MAJOR DAMAGE FAILURE	329	44.579946
	MAJOR STRUCTURAL FAILURE	97	13.143631
	MINOR DAMAGE	312	42.276423
X	MAJOR DAMAGE FAILURE	21	2.8455285
	MAJOR STRUCTURAL FAILURE	329	44.579946
	MINOR DAMAGE	291	39.430894
	TOTAL COLLAPSE	97	13.143631
XI	MAJOR DAMAGE FAILURE	291	39.430894
	MAJOR STRUCTURAL FAILURE	21	2.8455285
	TOTAL COLLAPSE	426	57.723577

## **OWNERSHIP AND TENANCY**



**OWNERSHIP AND TENANCY** 



Owners residing in the same building – 647
Only tenants residing - 91

# **QUERY** 1



RESIDENTIAL + CLOSE PROXIMITY +**ASYMMETRICAL SHAPE** + POOR MAINTENANCE +MODERATELY/OLDER +LOAD BEARING +**RBC ROOF** 

= 463 HOUSES!





RESIDENTIAL + PROXIMITY >4 FEET +SYMMETRICAL SHAPE **GOOD MAINTENANCE** +NEWLY BUILT FRAMED STRUCTURES +**RCC ROOF** 

= 209 HOUSES!

# **Blocked road**



# ATTRIBUTES OF ROAD



# BUILDINGS AFFECTING ROADS AT DIFFERENT EARTHQUAKE INTENSITIES



# BUILDINGS AFFECTING ROADS AT DIFFERENT EARTHQUAKE INTENSITIES



# BUILDINGS AFFECTING ROADS AT

# DIFFERENT EARTHQUAKE INTENSITIES

	BUFFER AROUND ROADS		MMI - VI					MMI - IX					
	(IN METER	TERS) LITTLE/NO		DAMAGE		BUFFER AROUND ROAD	S	MAJOR DAMAGE		MAJOR STRUCTURAL		MINOR	
	3	3 16		.65		(IN METERS)		FAILURE	AILURE		FAILURE		
	5		392									4E	
	6		149			5	+	101				160	
						6	+	58		10		72	
BUFFE	BUFFER AROUND ROADS MMI - VII					¥					/	,2	
		DAMAGE	MINOR DAMAGE				MMI - X						
	(III METERB)							MAJOR	MAJOR				
	3 (		55	100		BUFFER AROUND	Г	DAMAGE		UCTURAL	MINOR	TOTAL	
	5 5		60	232			-				DUMOT	COLLADOR	
6		8	30	69		ROADS (IN METERS)	1	FAILURE F		ALUKE	DAMAGE	COLLAPSE	
					3		3		74 62		26		
ргилт	MMI - VIII					5		13		181	147	51	
BUFFE	R AROUND ROADS					6		5		59	75	10	
	(IN METERS) LITTLE/NO DAMAGE MINOR DAMAGE												
	3	(	53	102				MMI - XI					
	5	1	47	245		BUFFER AROUND ROADS		MAJOR DAMAGE		MAJOR STRUCTURAL		TOTAL	
	6	5	75	74		(IN METERS)		FAILURE		FAILURE		COLLAPSE	
						3		62		3		100	
	Urban Seismic Risk Assessment in					5	147		13		232		
	using GIS and Remote Sensing					6		75 5				69	
	tochniquos												



## POPULATION AT RISK



TIME)

2131

**POPULATION DURING NIGHT** 

(NIGHT TIME)

4047

(NIGHT TIME)

2865

(DAY TIME)

2865